De-mystifying Schedule Compression: What the Owner Needs to Know About Scheduling and Scheduling Disputes

The "Owner's" Construction Superconference December 12, 2003 San Francisco, CA

Presented By:







Introductions

- Christopher Hillmann, President and CEO, Hillmann Environmental Group, LLC
- Robert C. McCue, P.E., President, MDCSystems[®]
- John E. Osborn, Esquire, Partner, John E. Osborn, P.C.
- Mark D. Steele, P.E., C.C.E., Vice President, MDCSystems[®]

Scheduling Specifications

Understanding the Schedule

Why have a schedule?
What is driving the schedule?
Change Integration
"Games" schedulers play

Scheduling Specifications

Who?
What?
When?
Why?

The Schedule Specified

Baseline Review
Reasonability of the Schedule
Recoverability

Schedulers and Software

All schedulers are not created equal
All owners are not created equal
Scheduling software

Managing the Schedule

- Floating completion dates or negative float?
- Reporting
- Changes
- Resource Loading
- Payment Milestones

The Construction Contract and Scheduling

The Owner's Role In Avoiding Scheduling Delays

- Require full investigation of building and site conditions before design
- Owner's strategy to avoid schedule and cost claims due to environmental conditions
- Require scheduling specifications with detailed obligations
- Set procedures in contract to address changed conditions if encountered

The Owner's Role Cont.'d

- In contract establish project participant responsibilities to address unanticipated environmental, subsurface, water intrusion or mold condition if encountered
- If an environmental clean up or abatement phase comes first: Coordinate scheduling and the scopes of the architect, environmental consultant and contractors

The Owner's Role Cont.'d

- Answering basic questions upfront avoids surprises on budget and schedule
- Analysis of damages when issues affect schedule
- Resolving disputes on schedule and impact during the job

The Owner's Role Cont.'d

What leads to the worst case scenario?
"Clearing the way"
Contract Clauses: How they help or hurt

Case Studies

Case Study #1 (CS #1)

- Description
- Situation when problem discovered
- Description of the problem

CS #1 – Actions & Impacts

Actions TakenProject Impacts

CS #1 – Mitigation of Impacts

Technical Mitigation
Legal Posture
Schedule Recovery

CS #1 – Avoidance & Recovery

Problem avoidance
Recovery decision-making

Case Study #2 (CS #2)

- Description
- Situation when problem discovered
- Description of the problem

CS #2 – Actions & Impacts

Actions TakenProject Impacts

CS #2 – Mitigation of Impacts

Technical Mitigation
Legal Posture
Schedule Recovery

CS #2 – Avoidance & Recovery

Problem avoidance
Recovery decision-making

Recovering the Schedule

Recovery Decision-Making

Urgency required
Independent judgment
Challenge existing assumptions and data
Thorough review of project status
Time Impact Analysis (TIA)[®]

Resource Effectiveness

- Current status (quality, resource availability)
- Supplementing or altering the team

Realistic Recovery

- Problem Definition/ Solutions not blame
 Cost/Benefit Analysis
 Avoid the "something for nothing" mentality
 Schedule compression
- Recover or live with delay?

Trouble Signs

<u>Symptom</u>	Potential Problem	<u>Response</u>
Contractor Refuses Or Delays Providing An Initial Schedule.	Lack of a real plan. Problems discovered after bidding. Long Lead Time Problems.	Require Schedule Submission. Require Initial Cashflow Projection. Require Procurement/Shop Drawing Submission Schedule.
Real Delays To Critical Activities Don't Changes Completion Date.	Hidden Delays. Poor Productivity. Delivery Problems.	Require explanation of Logic and/or Duration Changes – especially to Critical Path Activities.
Missed Updates.	Hidden Delays. Poor Productivity. Delivery Problems.	Require Update Submission. If necessary, do your own.
Change Orders Not Incorporated Into Schedule.	Hidden Delays. Delivery Problems. Possible Future Claims or waived.	Require Impact of Change Orders be shown in schedule.

Trouble Signs Cont.'d

<u>Symptom</u>	Potential Problem	<u>Response</u>
Schedule Logic Changed To Show More Trades Working In An Area At One Time.	Hidden Delays/Future Acceleration/Disruption Claims. Poor Productivity. Delivery Problems.	Require explanation of Logic and/or Duration changes– especially to Critical Path Activities.
Projected Durations For Incomplete Or Unstarted Work Changed For No Apparent Reason.	Hidden Delays. Delivery Problems. Unrealistic Recovery Projections.	Require explanation of Logic and/or Duration changes– especially to Critical Path Activities
Apparent Disconnect Between Cost/Progress Or Earned Value/Time.	Hidden Delays/Future Acceleration/Disruption Claims. Extended or increased Overhead Costs. Poor Productivity.	Require explanation of Logic and/or Duration changes– especially to Critical Path Activities.

Priorities

Avoid and prevent problems
Recognize problems early
Solve them quickly and efficiently
Develop realistic recovery plan

Contact Us!

Robert C. McCue, P.E. President MDCSystems[®] mccue@mdcsystems.com

Mark D. Steele, P.E., C.C.E. Vice President MDCSystems[®] steele@mdcsystems.com John E. Osborn, Esquire Partner John E. Osborn, P.C. josborn@osbornlaw.com

Christopher Hillmann President Hillmann Environmental Group, LLC chillmann@hillmanngroup.com